Chikungunya Mutation Affects Vector Specificity



Figure 1. Effect of E1-A226V Mutation on CHIKV-GFP Viruses Ae. albopictus and Ae. aegypti Midgut Infectivity Percent of orally infected Ae. albopictus (A, B) and Ae. aegypti (C, D) mosquitoes presented with blood meals containing various concentration of eGFPexpressing CHIK viruses. Serial 10-fold dilutions of viruses in the backbone of Reunion (LR-GFP-226V and LR-GFP-226A) (A, C) and 37997 (37997-GFP-226A and 37997-GFP-226V) (B, D) strains of CHIKV were made in L-15 medium followed by mixing the samples with defibrinated sheep blood. Mosquitoes were dissected at 7 dpi and eGFP expression in infected midguts was analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. A mosquito was considered infected if at least one foci of eGFP-expressing cells was present in the midgut. The experiments were performed twice for each virus (I and II). doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.g001

amount of LR-226A virus. LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses are indistinguishable in cell culture experiments (Figure S3). Mixtures of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses were orally presented to *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes in a blood meal, and midguts were examined at 7 dpi. The relative amount of RNA derived from LR-ApaI-226V in the midgut cells increased 5.7 ± 0.6 times as compared to the initial relative amount of LR-ApaI-226V RNA in the blood meal sample (Figure 2B). These data support our observation that the E1-A226V mutation enhances infectivity of CHIKV for *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes and furthermore demonstrate that the mutation could provide an evolutionary advantage over E1-226A viruses in an atypical vector and may have perpetuated the outbreak in a region where *Ae. albopictus* was the predominant anthropophilic mosquito species.

To determine if the enhanced midgut infectivity associated with the E1-A226V mutation may result in more efficient viral dissemination into secondary tissues, the kinetics of viral dissemination by LR-GFP-226V and LR-GFP-226A into salivary glands, and competition between LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A for dissemination into mosquito heads were analyzed (Figure 3A and 3B). LR-GFP-226V virus disseminated more rapidly into *Ae albopictus* salivary glands at all time points, with a significant difference at 7 dpi (p=0.044, Fisher's exact test). Similarly, in three of four replicates of competition experiments, RNA from LR-ApaI-226V virus was dramatically more abundant in the heads of *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes as compared to RNA from LR-226A (Figure 3B, lines 1, 3, 4), although in one replica LR-ApaI-226V RNA was only slightly more abundant as compared to the initial viral RNA ratio (Figure 3B, line 2). This variability of the results may be due to random pooling of mosquito heads. Thus, replicate two may have included more heads negative for LR-

| Backbone | Expª | Virus | Mosquitoes Analyzed ⁶ | Log ₁₀ OID ₅₀ ±Cl ₉₅ ° | p Value |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|--------------|
| CHIK Reunion | . 1985 - S. | LR-GFP-226V | 98 | <4:22 | p<0.01 |
| | | LR-GFP-226A | 101 | 5.42±0.29 | |
| | 2 | LR-GFP-226V | 171 | 3.52±0,28 | p<0.01 |
| | | LR-GFP-226A | 93 | 5.48±0.23 | Salitings of |
| | ája 🖓 | 37997-GFP-226A | 131 | 5.20±0,22 | p<0.01 |
| | | 37997-GFP-226V | 138 | 3.31+0.42 | |
| 14 (1.14) (1) 1 | 2 | 37997-GFP-226A | 129 | 4.90±0.25 | p<0.01 |
| | | 37997-GFP-226V | 136 | 3.06±0.32 | • • • • • |

Table 1. Log₁₀OID₅₀/ml for CHIKV in Ae. albopictus Mosquitoes

OID₅₀ values and confidence intervals were calculated using PriProbit (version 1.63). *Experiment number.

^bNumber of mosquitoes used to estimate Log₁₀OID₅₀/ml. ^c95% confidence intervals.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.t001

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org



Figure¹². Schematic Representation of Competition Experiments (A) and Competition between LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A Viruses for Colonization of Midgut cells of Ae. albonictus (B) and Ae. aegypti (C) Mosquitoes

10⁷ pfu of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A were mixed and orally presented to *Ae. albopictus* (B) and *Ae. aegypti* (C). Viral RNAs were extracted from four pools of eight to ten midguts at 7 dpi. RT-PCR products were digested with *Apal*, separated in 2% agarose gel, and gels were stained using ethidium bromide

8M - Initial ratio of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A in blood meal samples. 1–4 ratio of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A RNA in four independent replicas of the eight to ten midguts per replica.

Relative fitness (RF1) of LR-Apa-226V to LR-226A was calculated as a ratio between 226V and 226A bands in the sample, divided by the control ratio, between 226V and 226A in the blood meal.

Relative fitness (RF_2) of LR-226A to LR-Apa-226V was calculated as a ratio between 226A and 226V bands in the sample, divided to the control ratio between 226A and 226V in the blood meal. Results expressed as the average of four replicas \pm standard deviation (SD).

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.g002

Apal-226V relative to heads positive for LR-226A RNA. Another possibility is that at some point during viral dissemination from the midguts into mosquito heads, LR-226A may replicate more rapidly than LR-ApaI-226V. To further investigate this relationship, Ac. albopictus mosquitoes were orally presented with either LR-ApaI-226V or LR-226A and whole mosquito body viral titers were compared at different time points pi. Surprisingly, no significant differences between viral titers were found, with the exception of 1 dpi, where the LR-ApaI-226V titer was 0.5 Log10 tissues culture infectious dose 50 percent end point titer (Log10 TCID₅₀/mosquito) higher than of the LR-226A titer (Figure 4A). This may be due to more efficient colonization of Ac. albopictus midguts by LR-ApaI-226V. The absence of significant differences in viral titers at later time points may be due to variation in viral titers among individual mosquitoes. Competition between LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A was analyzed at different time points in order to investigate the relationship between replication of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses in Ae. albopictus mosquitoes (Figure 4B). As expected, the viral RNA from LR-ApaI-226V was predominant at the early time points of 1 and 3 dpi. Interestingly, between 3 and 5 dpi the viral RNA ratio shifted toward LR-

226A virus indicating that at these time points, LR-226A replicates more efficiently in some mosquito tissues (Figure 4B). This short period of time may have a slight effect on the overall outcome of competition for dissemination into salivary glands because there is a reverse shift in the RNA ratio between days 5 and 7 toward LR-ApaI-226V virus, which continues through 14 dpi. These data indicate that the EI-A226V mutation not only increases midgut infectivity but also is associated with more efficient viral dissemination from the midgut into secondary organs, suggesting that the EI-A226V mutation would increase transmissibility of CHIKV by *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes.

A competition assay between LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses was used to examine transmission by *Ae. albopictus* to suckling mice to assess the potential for the EI-A226V mutation to influence virus transmission. *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes were orally presented with a mixture of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses and at 14 dpi were allowed to feed on suckling mice. Mice were sacrificed and bled on day 3 following exposure and the presence of CHIKV RNA in the blood was analyzed by RT-PCR followed by restriction digestion with *ApaI* (Figure 5B). Blood obtained from 100% of experimental mice contained detectible amounts of viral

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org

December 2007 | Volume 3 | Issue 12 | e201

M

Chikungunya Mutation Affects Vector Specificity



Figure 3: Effect of E1-A226V Mutation on CHIKV Dissemination into Salivary Glands and Heads of *Ae. albopictus* and *Ae. aegypti* Mosquitoes *Ae. albopictus* (A) and *Ae. aegypti* (C) mosquitoes were orally infected with LR-GFP-226V and LR-GFP-226A. At the indicated time points, 16–21 mosquitoes were dissected and salivary glands were analyzed for eGFP expression. Percent of dissemination was estimated as a ratio of the number of mosquitoes with eGFP-positive salivary glands to the number of mosquitoes with eGFP-positive midguts. For *Ae. albopictus*; infectious blood meal titers: were 5.95 and 6.52 Log₁₀TCID₅₀/ml for LR-GFP-226A viruses. Dissemination rates were compared statistically by Fisher's exact test using SPSS version 11.5. Asterisk indicates p < 0.05.

(8 and D) Competition between LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A for dissemination into heads of *Ae. albopictus* and *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes. 10⁷ pfu of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A were mixed and orally presented to *Ae. albopictus* (8) and *Ae. aegypti* (D). Viral RNAs were extracted from four pools of five heads collected at 12 dpi. RT-PCR products were digested with *Apal*, separated in 2% agarose gel, and gels were stained using ethidium bromide. BM - initial ratio of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A replica.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.g003

RNA, indicating that virus was transmitted by *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes to suckling mice. More importantly, in all six mice analyzed, RNA derived from LR-ApaI-226V was the predominant viral RNA species, indicating that under the conditions of competition for transmission, the E1-A226V mutation directly increases CHIKV transmission by *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes. Interestingly, in the control experiment in which mice were subcutaneously inoculated with ≈ 50 pfu of 1:1 mixture of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses, RNAs from both viruses were readily detected and no difference was observed in the viral RNA ratio 3 dpi (Figure 5A) indicating that at least in mice, E1-A226V is not associated with changes in viral fitness.

Effect of E1 A226V Mutation on Fitness of CHIKV in Ae. aegypti Mosquitoes

Since the E1-A226V mutation confers a fitness advantage in Ae albopictus, it is unknown why this mutation had not been observed previously. It is possible that this change might have a deleterious effect on viral fitness in the vertebrate host, although our data of direct competition of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses in suckling mice (Figure 5A) and analysis of CHIKV cellular tropism of four clinical isolates from Reunion (which have either A or V at position E1-226) [14], suggest that this is unlikely. An alternative hypothesis is that the E1-A226V mutation might compromise the fitness of CHIKV or have neutral fitness effects in the mosquito species which served as a vector for CHIKV prior to its emergence on Reunion island. Since *Ae. aegypti* has generally been regarded as the main vector for CHIKV prior to the emergence on Reunion island, we analyzed the effect of the E1-A226V mutation on fitness of CHIKV in *Ae. aegypti*.

In contrast to the results obtained in Ae. albopictus mosquitoes, OID_{50} values of viruses containing the E1-226V in the backbone of the Reunion and 37997 strains of CHIKV were approximately 0.5 $Log_{10}OID_{50}/ml$ higher than the OID_{50} values of E1-226A viruses in all experiments using Ae. aegypti. These differences were statistically significant for one out of two replicates for each virus pair (Figure 1C and 1D; Table 2). A competition assay examining LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A virus infection in Ae. aegypti midguts, demonstrated that LR-226A virus out-competed LR-ApaI-226V virus at 7 dpi in all four replicates using ten midguts per replicate and that the amount of LR-226A RNA increased on average 3.1 times as compared to the initial blood meal RNA ratio (Figure 2C). These data suggest that the E1-A226V mutation has a slight negative effect on CHIKV infectivity of Ae. aegypti midguts.

The effect of the E1-A226V mutation on the ability of CHIKV to disseminate into *Ae aegypti* secondary organs was also analyzed (Figure 3C and 3D). LR-GFP-226V and LR-GFP-

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org

1899



Figure 4. Effect of E1-A226V Mutation on CHIKV Kinetics of Viral Growth in Bodies of Ae. albopictus Mosquitoes (A) Virus production in orally infected Ae. albopictus mosquitoes: Infected mosquitoes were sampled at 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, and 14 dpi and titrated on Vero cells to estimate average titer ± standard deviation of eight whole mosquitoes. Differences in viral titers were analyzed by pairwise t-tests. Asterisk indicates p < 0.05.

(B) Kinetics of competition between LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A in bodies of Ae. albopictus mosquitoes, 10⁷ pfu of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A were mixed and orally presented to Ae. albopictus. Infected mosquitoes were sampled at 1, 3, 5, 7, and 14 dpl. For each time point, viral, RNA was extracted from two pools of ten mosquitoes. BM - initial ratio of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A in blood meal samples. Alteration three SIDT and a

RF - relative fitness of LR-Apa-226V to LR-226A was calculated as a ratio between 226V and 226A bands in the sample, divided to the control ratio between 226V and 226A in the blood meal. Results expressed as average of two replicas ± standard deviation. when ARCH AL brie VOXX ISUA doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.g004 Ton St is Betrellop 20aer escoli 49 to often Istrini - M9

226A viruses both have similar kinetics of dissemination into salivary glands following oral infection using titers 1-2 Log10TCID50 higher than their OID50 value in Ac accepti (Figure 3C). In a competition assay, both LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses disseminated similarly into the heads of Ac. aegypti. In two of four replicas, there was a slight increase in the relative amount of LR- 226A RNA (Figure 3D, lines 1, 4); whereas the other two replicas showed a decrease in LR-226A RNA (Figure 2D, lines 2, 3), relative to the initial ratio of the RNA of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses in the blood meal. A competition of LR-ApaI-226V and LR-226A viruses for transmission by Ae. aegypti to suckling mice was also analyzed (Figure 5C). In contrast to transmission by Ae. albopictus mosquitoes, five out of six mice fed upon by Ae. aegypti contained comparable amounts of RNA derived from both viruses and only one out of six mice contained RNA derived exclusively from LR-ApaI-226V.

E1-A226V Mutation Modulates Cholesterol Dependence of CHIKV

It has been previously shown that a $P \rightarrow S$ mutation in the same E1-226 position of SFV releases cholesterol dependence of the virus in C6/36 cells [33] and results in significantly more rapid growth of SFV in Ae. albopictus mosquitoes after intrathoracic inoculation [34]. To determine if a requirement for cholesterol in the cell membrane is important for CHIKV, we analyzed cholesterol dependence of CHIKV E1-226A and

E1-226V viruses (Figure 6). Growth curves of E1-226A and E1-9 avi 226V viruses in the background of Indian Ocean and West African strains of CHIKV were almost indistinguishable when grown in C6/36. cells maintained in L-15 supplied with standard 10% FBS (Figure 6A). However, when the cells were depleted of cholesterol, LR-226A and 37997-226A viruses replicated significantly more rapidly than LR-226V and 37997-226V viruses, reaching 3 Log10TCID50/ml higher titer at 1, 2 and 3 dpi (Figure 6B). These data indicate that adaptation of CHIKV to Ae. albopictus mosquitoes coincides with CHIKV dependence on cholesterol in the target cell membrane.

Discussion

The CHIKV outbreak in Reunion is unique because it is the first well-documented report of an alphavirus outbreak for which Ae. albopictus was the main vector. Interestingly, this was also the first Chikungunya epidemic during which fatal infections were reported. Our data clearly indicate that an E1-A226V mutation in CHIKV results in increased fitness of CHIKV in Ae. albopictus mosquitoes with respect to midgut infectivity, dissemination to the salivary glands, and transmission to a vertebrate species. These data demonstrate that a single E1-A226V mutation is sufficient to dramatically increase the ability of different strains of CHIKV to infect Ae. albopictus mosquitoes and that this substitution requires no

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org

Chikungunya Mutation Affects Vector Specificity



Figure 5. Effect of E1-A226V Mutation on CHIKV Transmission by *Ae. albopictus* and *Ae. aegypti* Mosquitoes (A) Six 2- to 3-day-old suckling mice (Swiss Webster) were subcutaneously infected with a 20-µl mixture of ~ 25 pfu LR-Apa-226V and ~ 25 pfu of LR-226A viruses.

(B and C) Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus mosquitoes were presented with a blood meal containing 10⁷ pfu/ml of LR-Apa-226V and 10⁷ pfu/ml of LR-226A viruses. At 13 dpi, ten to 15 mosquitoes were placed in separate paper cartons and starved for 24 h. The next day, the mosquitoes in each carton were presented with a 2- to 3-day-old suckling mouse (Swiss Webster).

Mice were returned to their cage and sacrificed on day 3 post-exposure. Blood from each individual mouse (~ 50 μ) was collected and immediately mixed with 450 μl of TRIzol reagent for RNA extraction.

BM and inoc. - initial ratio of LR-Apal-226V and LR-226A in blood meal samples and inoculum for subcutaneous infection. 1-6 ratio of LR-Apal-226V and ILR-226A RNA in six individual mice.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.g005

additional adaptive mutations to gain intermolecular compatibility. These complimentary experimental data demonstrate that a single mutation is sufficient to modify viral infectivity for a specific vector species and as a consequence, can fuel an epidemic in a region that lacks the typical vector. These observations provide the basis for an explanation of the observed rapid shift among CHIKV genotypes to viruses containing the E1-A226V mutation during the Reunion outbreak [32].

Interestingly, our data and data from previous studies [36,37] indicate that prior to acquiring the E1-A226V mutation, CHIKV is capable of producing high enough viremia in humans to efficiently infect Ae. albopictus mosquitoes. One explanation of the evolutionary force which allowed CHIKV to be selected so rapidly into a CHIKV strain which is adapted to Ae albopictus, is that the increased infectivity (lower OID₅₀) of CHIKV E1-A226V mutants for Ae. albopictus means that the human viremic thresholds required for Ae. albopictus infection would likely occur earlier and be sustained for longer. Several recent studies indicate that during the course of human viremia, which last up to 6 days, CHIKV loads can reach up to 3.3x10⁹ RNA copies per ml of the blood [38,39], which corresponds to 6-7 Log10TCID50/ml [39]. Earlier studies that utilized a suckling mouse brain titration protocol, which is more sensitive than titration on Vero cells, also found that human viremia often exceeded 6 Log10SMICLD50/0.02 ml [40]. Based on viremia studies in rhesus monkeys that can develop up to 7.5 Log/ml if assayed by suckling mice brain titration [41] and a maximum viremia

of only 5.5 Log_{10}/ml based on Vero cell titration [42], we believe that viremias in humans would correlate to 6-7 $Log_{10}TCID_{50}/ml$. From these data we calculate that the maximum virus load which can be achieved in human blood is 1-2 $Log_{10}TCID_{50}/ml$ higher than the $Log_{10}OID_{50}/ml$ for E1-226A viruses but 3-4 $Log_{10}TCID_{50}/ml$ higher than the $Log_{10}OID_{50}/ml$ for E1-226V viruses. During the course of viremia there should therefore be a substantial time frame in which CHIKV blood load is high enough for E1-226V viruses to infect *Ae. albopictus* but below the threshold for infection

| Table 2. Log ₁₀ OID ₅₀ /ml for CHIKV in Ae. aegypti Mos | auitoes |
|---|---------|
|---|---------|

| Backbone | Exp ^a | Virus | Mosquitoes Analyzed ^b | Log ₁₀ p OlD ₅₀ ±Cl ₉₅ ^c Value |
|------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|
| | | and a second | r An Sandhannan - Ana | |
| | ી જેવા છે. | LR-GFP-226V | 65 | 6.77±0.40 p<0.1 |
| | | | 103 | 6.12±0.28 |
| | 2 | LR-GFP-226V | 107 | 6.26±0.30 p<0.05 |
| | | LR-GFP-226A | 53 | 5.62±0.33 |
| CHIK 37997 | 1 | 37997-GFP-226A | 161 | 5,77±0,25 p<0.01 |
| | | 37997-GFP-226V | 162 | 6.59±0.34 |
| | 2 | 37997-GFP-226A | 136 | 5.83±0.30 p<0.1 |
| | | 37997-GFP-226V | 127 | 6.34±0.29 |

OID₅₀ values and confidence intervals were calculated using PriProbit (version 1.63). *Experiment number.

^bNumber of mosquitoes used to estimate Log₁₀OID₅₀/ml. ^c95% confidence intervals.

doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.t002

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org

1901



Figure 6. Effect of E1-A226V Mutation on In Vitro Growth of CHIKV in Standard (A) and Cholesterol-Depleted (B) C6/36 Cells

Cholesterol-depleted C6/36 cells were produced by five passages in L-15 medium containing 10% FBS treated with 2% CAB-O-Sil for 12 h at room temperature as previously described [52]. Confluent monolayers of standard (A) and cholesterol-depleted (B) C6/36 cells were infected with LR-Apal-226V, LR-226A, 37997–226A and 37997–226V viruses at an MOI of 1.0 (A) and an MOI of 0.1 (B): Cells were washed three times with L-15 medium, and 5.5.ml of fresh L-15 supplied with 10% of standard or CAB-O-Sil-treated FBS were added to the flask. Cells were maintained at 28 °C. At the indicated times post-infection, 0.5 ml of medium was removed and stored at -80 °C for later titration on Vero cells. Viral titers are estimated as average Log₁₀TCID₅₀/ml \pm standard deviation of two independent experiments.

hpi - hours post-infection. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.g006

with E1-226A viruses. This increased opportunity for Ac. albopictus infection, would perpetuate the selection and transmission of the mutant virus.

During transmission competition assays, only E1-226V virus was transmitted to suckling mice by Ae albopictus, although in these experiments, titers of E1-226V and E1-226A viruses were of a high enough magnitude to allow both of these viruses to efficiently infect this mosquitoes species. This indicates that there are additional mechanisms that could ensure evolutional success of the E1-A226V viruses transmitted by Ae. albopictus. It is possible that one of these mechanisms is associated with more efficient dissemination of the E1-226V as compared with E1-226A viruses. This could shorten the extrinsic incubation period (EIP)-the time from mosquito infection to transmission-and could have contributed to the evolutionary success of CHIKV during the Reunion outbreak because vectors infected with the LR-226V virus would transmit it more quickly than those infected with LR-226A viruses. Additionally, with relatively short-lived vectors such as mosquitoes [43], longer EIPs reduce transmission efficiency simply because fewer mosquitoes survive long enough to transmit the virus.

Our current studies do not provide data to determine if dissemination efficiency of the E1-226V viruses into the salivary glands is a consequence of more efficient midgut infectivity or if these two phenomena are independent. In this regard, it will be of particular interest to investigate the effect of the E1-A226V mutation on CHIKV transmission by orally or intrathoracically infected *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes.

Although the CHIKV E1-A226V mutation gives a selective advantage in Ae. albopictus, there was not a corresponding advantage in Ae. aegypti. The OID₅₀ and midgut competition assay data indicate that E1-226V viruses were slightly less infectious for midgut cells of Ae. aegypti mosquitoes (Figures 1C, 1D, and 2C; Table 2). Additionally, in contrast to Ae. albopictus, E1-226V viruses do not have a detectable advantage for dissemination into salivary glands and heads of Ae. aegypti. In transmission competition experiments from Ae aegypti to suckling mice, E1-226V conferred a slight competitive advantage over E1-226A (Figure 5C). However, five out of six mice exposed to CHIKV infected Ae acgypti had equivalent amounts of both E1-226A and E1-226V viral RNAs. These results are markedly different compared to the results obtained in similar experiments using Ae. albopictus mosquitoes and further support the hypothesis that this E1-A226V was specifically selected as a result of adaptation of CHIKV to Ae. albopictus mosquitoes. To explain the small fitness advantage associated with the E1-A226V mutation which was observed in transmission experiments, we hypothesize that, similarly to Ae. albopictus, E1-226A and E1-226V viruses colonize different Ae. aegypti organs at different efficiencies. E1-226A appears to colonize midgut cells of Ae aegypti better than E1-226V viruses; however, following dissemination into salivary glands, the E1-226V virus gains an advantage for transmission to vertebrates.

The E1-A226V mutation was found to have a slightly negative effect on infectivity, a negligible effect on dissemination, but a slight positive effect on transmissibility of CHIKV by Ae. aegypti in the competition experiment. We suggest that these small (as compared with Ae. albopictus) differences associated with the E1-A226V mutation would not be sufficient to have a significant effect on the evolution of CHIKV transmitted by Ae. aegypti and would not result in accumulation of this mutation in the regions where Ae. aegypti serves as a primary vector for CHIKV. This may explain the lack of emergence of the E1-226V genotype in previous outbreaks and the predominance of E1-226A viruses during the 2006 CHIKV epidemic in India, in which Ae. aegypti is considered to be the main vector species [44]. Adaptation of African strains of CHIKV from forest dwelling mosquitoes species to Ae. aegypti has never been shown to be associated with any particular mutations, therefore we believe that the same negative impact of E1-A226V would be seen in African mosquito vectors which were responsible for transmission of CHIKV strains ancestral to Reunion isolates.

Our data does not exclude the possibility that the El-A226V mutation might have a negative effect on the evolution of CHIKV transmitted by *Ae. aegypti.* Since our dissemination and transmission studies were performed using blood meal titers that were $1-2 \text{ Log}_{10}\text{TCID}_{50}/\text{ml}$ higher than $\text{Log}_{10}\text{OID}_{50}/\text{ml}$ values we suggest that the negative effect of decreased midgut infectivity of E1-A226V on virus trans-

PLoS Pathogens | www.piospathogens.org

missibility would be almost completely missed, simply because, under this condition, almost 100% of mosquitoes could become infected. In general, CHIKV requires significantly higher blood meal titers for infection of *Ae. aegypti* compared to *Ae. albopictus* [36,37] (Tables 1 and 2), which suggests that the slight decrease in midgut infectivity of E1-226V viruses would have a more profound effect on the evolution of CHIKV transmitted by *Ae. aegypti*, compared to the effect of a small advantage in the ability to compete with E1-226A viruses for transmission to suckling mice. Therefore, if the E1-A226V mutation occurred in CHIKV transmitted by *Ae. aegypti*, it would have a weak negative effect on viral fitness and would most likely not be preferentially selected. Additional experiments are required to evaluate this hypothesis.

Available data cannot exclude the possibility that E1-226A viruses may have an unknown beneficial effect on the fitness of CHIKV in vertebrate hosts over E1-226V viruses, and that the minor negative effect of E1-226A observed in transmission experiments by *Ae. aegypti* can be compensated for by more efficient viral replication in the vertebrate host, leading to an overall more efficient adaptation to the transmission cycle. However, comparison of the different effects of A or V residues at position E1-226 on CHIKV infectivity for, and transmission by *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes clearly suggests that polymorphisms at this position may determine the host range of the alphaviruses and may play an important role in adaptation of the viruses to a particular mosquito vector.

An interesting observation, which should be studied in more detail, was that adaptation of CHIKV to Ae. albopictus mosquitoes coincided with the acquisition of CHIKV dependence on cholesterol in the target membrane. It has been previously shown that various mutations in the same region of the El protein of SFV and Sindbis virus can modulate the cholesterol dependence of these viruses [33,45] and that SFV independence from cholesterol coincides with more rapid growth of the virus in Ae. albopictus [34]. Although there is an apparent association, it is currently unknown if cholesterol dependence of alphaviruses is directly responsible for modulation of fitness of alphaviruses in mosquito vectors. A possible explanation for the opposite effects of the cholesterol-dependent phenotype of SFV and CHIKV on fitness in Ae. albopictus may reflect the use of different techniques for mosquito infection. In our study, mosquitoes were orally infected via cholesterol rich blood meals, whereas in the previous study SFV was intrathoracically inoculated into the mosquito [34]. It is also possible that cholesterol-dependent and -independent viruses would replicate differently in different mosquito organs. As such, our data indicate that more efficient colonization of Ae. albopictus midgut cells by cholesterol-dependent LR-ApaI-226V is followed by relatively more rapid growth of cholesterol-independent LR -226A virus in mosquito bodies between 3 and 5 dpi (Figure 4B). Three to 5 dpi coincides with virus escape from the mosquito midgut.

Alignment of amino acid sequences that constitute the ij loop of E1 protein from different members of the alphaviruses genus revealed that position E1-226 is not conserved ([33] and data not shown) and can vary even between different strains of the same virus. In this regard, it would be reasonable to determine the cholesterol requirement of other clinically important alphaviruses, especially Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus (VEEV) and eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV), which show significant intra-strain variation at position E1-226 among natural isolates of these viruses, and determine mutations which can modulate their cholesterol dependence. In recent studies by Kolokoltsov et al. [46], it was suggested that VEEV, a New world alphavirus, might be cholesterol independent, although the use of Vero cells instead of C6/36 cells, and the use of different protocols for cell membrane cholesterol depletion, make it difficult to compare the results of this study with our findings. Also it would be of interest to determine possible relationships between mutations which modulate cholesterol dependence of alphaviruses other than CHIKV and on their infectivity for *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes and perhaps other epidemiologically important mosquito vectors.

The molecular mechanisms responsible for the association between host range and cholesterol dependence of CHIKV are unknown [47]. It has been proposed that upon exposure to low pH, the E1 protein of cholesterol-dependent viruses senses the target membrane lipid composition and goes through a cholesterol-dependent priming recognition reaction [48] which is not required for cholesterol-independent viruses. It is possible that CHIKV infects Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus midgut cells using different endocytic pathways, which targets virus to cellular compartments with different lipid contents in which fusion occurs. Specific lipids such as cholesterol may differentially affect fusion of cholesteroldependent and cholesterol-independent CHIKV strains in these compartments and therefore define the outcome of infection. Although our observations are suggestive, more comprehensive studies should be completed to determine the exact molecular mechanisms responsible for penetration of E1-226A and E1-226V viruses into Ae. aegypti and Ae. albopictus cells.

Although previous laboratory studies have demonstrated susceptibility of Ae. albopictus to CHIKV infection [36,37], our data demonstrate that the E1-A226V mutation promoted infection and accelerated dissemination of CHIKV in Ae. albopictus mosquitoes and conferred a selective advantage over infection of Ae. aegypti. Whilst the mutation did not increase the maximum viral titer attainable in the mosquitoes, the synergistic effects of increased infectivity and faster dissemination of the E1-A226V virus in Ae. albopictus would accelerate virus transmission to a naïve human population which would have contributed to initiating and sustaining the 2005-2006 CHIKV epidemic on Reunion island. That a single amino acid change can act through multiple phenotypic effects to create an epidemic situation has implications for other arthropod-transmitted viruses and the evolution of human infectious diseases [49].

Methods

Viruses and plasmids. The viruses and plasmids encoding fulllength infectious clones of the LR2006 OPY1 strain CHIK-LR ic (GenBank accession number EU224268; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ Genbank/index.html) and GFP-expressing full-length clone LR-GFP-226V (CHIK-LR 5'GFP, GenBank accession number EU224269) have: been previously described [15,35]. The plasmids 37997-226A (pCHIK-37997ic, GenBank accession number EU224270) encoding full-length infectious clones of the West African strain of CHIKV 37997 and a GFP-expressing full-length clone 37997-GFP-226A (pCHIK-37997-5GFP, GenBank accession number EU224271) were derived from previously described plasmids pCHIKic and 5'CHIK EGFP [35] by

Ø

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org

1903

introducing CHIKV encoding cDNA into a modified pSinRep5 (Invitrogen) at positions 8055-9930. Viruses derived from 37997-226A and 37997-GFP-226A are identical to viruses derived form pCHIKic and 5'CHIK EGFP. To facilitate rapid screening of viruses in mosquitoes, the gene encoding enhance green fluorescent protein (eGFP), that is known not to compromise CHIKV phenotype in mosquitoes [15], was incorporated into clones as previously described [15]. Plasmids were constructed and propagated using conventional PCR-based cloning methods [50]. The entire PCR-generated regions of all constructs were verified by sequence analysis. The maps, sequences and detailed description of the clones are available from the authors upon request. For studies comparing the relative fitness of the mutant (E1-226V) virus and the pre-epidemic genotype (E1-226A), a silent mutation (6454C) was introduced into the CHIK-LR ic to add an ApaI restriction site into the coding sequence of CHIK-LR ic. The resultant plasmid was designated LR-ApaI-226V. The E1-V226A mutation was introduced into CHIK-LR ic and LR-GFP-226A, respectively. The mutation E1-A226V was also introduced into plasmids 37997-226A and 37997-GFP-226A. The resulted plasmids were designated 37997-226V and 37997-GFP-226V.

All plasmids were purified by centrifugation in CsCl gradients, linearized with Notl and *in vitro* transcribed from the minimal SP6 promoter using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE kit (Ambion) following the manufacturer's instructions: The yield and integrity of synthesized RNA were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis in the presence of 0.25 μ g/ml of ethidium bromide. RNA (10 μ g) was transfected into 1x10⁷ BHK-21 cells by electroporation as previously described [15]. Cells were transferred to 25 cm² tissue culture flasks with 10 ml of Leibovitz L-15 (L-15) medium, and supernatants were collected at 24 and 48 h post-electroporation and stored at -80 °C. In parallel, 1x10⁵ electroporated BHK-21 cells were serially 10-fold diluted and seeded in six-well plates for infectious centers assay as previously described [15].

Cells and mosquitoes. BHK-21 (baby hamster kidney) cells were maintained at 37 °C in L-15 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. C6/ 36 cells (Ae. albopictus) were grown in the same medium at 28 °C. Ae. aegypti (white-eyed Higgs variant of the Rexville D strain) and Ae. albopictus (Galveston strain) were reared at 27 °C and 80% relative humidity under a 16h light: 8h dark photoperiod, as previously described [35]. Adults were kept in paper cartons supplied with 10% sucrose on cotton balls. To promote egg production females were fed on anaesthetized hamsters once per week.

Rexville D strain of *Ae. aegypti* mosquitoes were originally selected for susceptibility to flavivirus infection [51]. Since there are no known consequences of this original selection with respect to susceptibility to CHIKV, a white eyed variant of the strain that facilitates detection of GFP was used in our experiments.

In vitro virus growth of CHIKV in standard and cholesteroldepleted C6/36 cells. To investigate if the mutation influenced cholesterol dependence of the virus, cholesterol-depleted C6/36 cells were prepared by five passages in L-15 medium containing 10% FBS treated with 2% CAB-O-Sil (Acros Organics) for 12 h at room temperature as previously described [52]. CHIKV growth curves were determined by infecting cholesterol-depleted and normal C6/36 cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 0.1 and 1.0, respectively, by rocking for 1 h at 25 °C. The cells were washed three times with L-15 medium and 5.5 ml of fresh L-15 supplied with 10% of standard or CAB-O-Sil treated FBS was added to the flask. At the indicated times post-infection, 0.5 ml of medium was removed and stored at -80 °C until tirrated. The volume of medium was then restored by adding 0.5 ml of appropriate medium.

Titrations. Viral titers from mosquito samples and from tissue culture supernatant were determined using Vero cells and expressed as tissue culture infectious dose 50 percent endpoint titers ($Log_{10}TCID_{50}$) as previously described [53]. Additionally, for viral competition experiments, titers of LR-Apa-226V LR-226A viruses were determined using standard plaque assay on Vero cells as previously described [54].

Oral infection of mosquitoes. A. aegypti and A. albopictus were infected in an Arthropod Containment Level 3 insectary as described previously [35,55]. To make infectious blood meals for the viruses lacking eGFP, viral stocks derived from electroporated BHK-21 cells were mixed with an equal volume of defibrinated sheep blood and supplemented with 3 mM ATP as a phago-stimulant. To produce infectious blood meals for the eGFP-expressing viruses, the viruses were additionally passed on BHK-21 cells. The cells were infected at a $MOI \sim 1.0$ with virus derived from electroporation. At 2 dpi, cell culture supernatants were mixed with an equal volume of defibrinated sheep blood and presented to 4- to 5-day-old female mosquitoes that had been starved for 24 h, using a Hemotek membrane feeding system (Discovery Workshops) and hamster skin membrane. Mosquitoes were allowed to feed for 45 min, and engorged mosquitoes (stage \geq 3+ [56]) were sorted and returned to a cage for maintenance. Blood meals and three to four mosquitoes were simmediately removed for titration and/or RNA extraction. Depending on the purpose of the experiments, mosquitoes were collected at different days post-infection and either titrated to determine viral titer, dissected for analysis of eGFP expression in the midguts or salivary glands [15], or used for RNA extraction in competition experiments.

To estimate the Oral Infectious Dose 50% values (OID₅₀), serial 10fold dilutions of viruses were made in L-15 medium followed by mixing the samples with defibrinated sheep blood. Mosquitoes were dissected at 7 dpi and eGFP expression in infected midguts was analyzed by fluorescence microscopy. A mosquito was considered infected if at least one foci of eGFP-expressing cells was present in the midgut. The experiments were performed twice for each virus. OID₅₀ values and confidence intervals were calculated using PriProbit (version 1.63).

Viral competition experiments. To test the hypothesis that the El-A226V mutation might be associated with a competitive advantage in mosquito vectors, competition assays were designed similar to those described previously in mice [57], with minor modifications (Figure 2A). Both *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes were presented with a blood meal containing 10⁷ plaque-forming units (pfu)/ml of LR-Apa-226V and 10⁷ pfu/ml of LR-226A viruses. It had been previously found that for these two viruses the ratio of viral RNAs corresponds to the ratio of viral titers (data not shown). Midguts were collected at 7 dpi and analyzed in pools of eight to ten, and heads were collected at 12 dpi and analyzed in pools of five. RNA was extracted from the tissue pools using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) followed by additional purification using a Viral RNA mini kit (QIAGEN). RNAs from blood meal samples were extracted using Viral RNA Mini Kit followed by treatment with DNAse (Ambion) to destroy any residual plasmid DNA contaminant in the viral samples. RNA was reversed transcribed from to the manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was amplified from 41855ns-F5 (5'- ATATCTAGACATGGTGGAC) and 41855ns-R1 (5'-TATCAAAGGAGGCTATGTC) primers using Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs). PCR products were purified using Zymo clean columns (Zymo Research) and were quantified by spectrophotometry. Equal amount of PCR products were digested with Apal, separated in 2% agarose gels that were stained using ethidium bromide. Thus the LR-Apa-226V and LR-226A viruses could be distinguished by size on an agarose gel (Figure 2A). Gel images were analyzed using TolaLab (version 2.01). Relative fitness of LR-Apa-226V and LR-226A viruses was calculated as a ratio between 226V and 226A bands in the sample, divided by the control ratio of 226V and 226A in the blood meal

Virus competition in an animal transmission model. Ac. aegypti and Ac. albopictus mosquitoes were presented with a blood meal containing 10⁷ pfu/ml of LR-Apa-226V and 10⁷ pfu/ml of LR-226A viruses. At 13 dpi, ten to 15 mosquitoes were placed in separate paper cartons and starved for 24 h. The next day the mosquitoes in each carton were presented with individual 2- to 3-day-old suckling mouse (Swiss Webster). Feeding continued until 2-3 mosquitoes per carton were fully engorged (stage \geq 3+[56]). In a parallel experiment six 2- to 3-day-old suckling mixture containing ~ 25 pfu of LR-Apa-226V and ~ 25 pfu of LR-226A viruses. Mice were returned to their cage and sacrificed on day 3 post-exposure. Blood from each individual mouse (~ 50 µl) was collected and immediately mixed with 450 µl of TRIzol reagent for RNA extraction. The RNA was processed as described above. All animal manipulations were conducted in accordance with federal laws, regulations, and in compliance with National Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines and with the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care standards.

Supporting Information

Figure S1. Schematic Representation of the Viruses Used in This Study

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0030201.sg001 (917 KB PDF).

Figure S2. Growth of the eGFP-Expressing Viruses in BHK-21(A, C) and C6/36 (B, D) Cells

Confluent monolayers of BHK-21 and C6/36 cells in T25 tissue culture flacks were infected with LR-GFP-226V and LR-GFP-226A (A,

PLoS Pathogens | www.plospathogens.org

1904